

MPAC REPORT FIRST QUARTER REPORT 2020/21

OVERSIGHT REPORT 2018/19

ANNUAL WORK PLAN 2020/21

KNOWLEDGE SHARING AND PEER LEARNING (KOUGA REPORT)

3RD QUARTER 2019/20 PROJECT VISIT REPORT

MPAC OVERSIGHT REPORT 2018/19

Madam speaker and chairperson of council, Hon mayor, Chief whip & other whispy's, members of ex-co, section 79 committee chairpersons, Chairpersons of MPAC from sister municipalities, traditional leaders, councillors, officials lead by the accounting officer, MP Mr Morwatsehla, MP Mashabela, MPL Mr Matlou media crew, business froteniety, members of the public who r following us through FB and all protocol observed.

The oversight report is presented in a month that marks the birth of the world icon Tata Nelson Mandela and again in a sad month of the passing on of the ambassador to Denmark Ms Zinzidswa Mandela who happens to be the Daughter of Nelson Mandela and Mama Windfred Madigizela Mandela.

Madam Speaker, the world is sadly facing a very difficult situation ever, the emergence of the COVID-19 virus commonly known as corona virus has broad the world to a standstill but however had to adopt a new normal way of leaving.

It is indeed an honour and a privilege to stand before council to present the oversight for the 2018/19 fin year. Council will agree with me that the strategic objective of local government is to build a clean, effective, efficient, responsive, and accountable local government therefore the application of king iv becomes imperative.

While the constitution gives expression to the separation of powers by recognising the functional independence of the three spheres of government (Executive, legislature and judiciary) at local government level, a municipal council is vested with both legislative and executive authority. MFMA act of 2003 requires certain level of oversight by municipality over the executive authority, amongst others the annual report, SDBIP, Annual Financial statement and performance of section 57 employees (section 71 monthly budget statement, section 72 midyear budget and performance assessment) and many more.

It is against this background that Municipal Structures Act 1998 mandate council to establish oversight committees in term of section 79, unlike other committees MPAC is a council committee and therefore directly account to council.

Madam speaker and chairperson of council the MPAC report will cover steps that which the committee has undertaken such as:

- Perusal of the Annual financial statement after adoption in August
- Consideration of the Draft Annual Report after it was presented council.
- Engagement with management and AGSA on the Annual report

Madam speaker and chairperson of council MPAC was able to go through the annual report for a week at mashuti lodge , from the mayor's foreword in chapter one to the financial performance report in chapter5 are matters that speaks to the general status, achievement and the shortfall of our institution in the year under review, but chapter 06 madam speaker draws and paint a different image of our municipality as it then tells us were we lacking and of all findings the biggest stake is still in finance even in the year under review(2018/19) fin year.

CONT.....

The committee Further affirms that the draft annual report was adopted by council as required by legislation and copies were sent to:

- COGHSTA
- Treasury
- Auditor General SA
- Audit Committee
- MPAC
- Pasted on Municipal website
- Municipal Sub Offices

It must be noted that we received the audit committee report for the year under review and I must indicate that this came handy as it is making it easier for the committee to refer on recommendation made by the audit committee

MPAC was able to present a report in the first quarter, as for the second and third quarter reports are attached.

The chairperson of MPAC seats in the audit committee on observer status and seat in the audit steering committee and the committee has agreed that all members should seat as observers.

CONT.....

Therefore madam speaker and chairperson, citizens of GLM, Compatriot allow me to present the Oversight report and recommendations to council for considerations.

Attached is a copy of the Oversight Report, Question and responses to and from management.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Thuntsa Lerole programme be resumed and it be done in a manner that it won't make councillors to be unpopular with communities.
2. The residence of Modjadjiskloof be connected to the water borne sewage system within 90 days and those who are refusing to connect as reported in the annual report action be taken against them, and that the recommendation be forwarded to the responsible municipality (MDM)
3. The suction tanker be branded with hazardous waste signs.

CONTI....

4. The purification plant in Modjadjiskloof be revamped by the relevant authority hence our next recommendation becomes relevant.
5. We recommend that the municipality apply for water service authority from the national ministry.
6. That the municipality dispatch a team of technical officials to assess the access roads that are critical in the municipality and a comprehensive report with remedial measures be submitted to the Municipal manager for urgent implementation. For example, roads such as (Medingen Mapaana gravel road, Abel Shaameriri, Maraka, and other critical roads)
7. That Manokwe caves be investigated to check if there were those who once crossed the natural tunnel as the committee is concerned that council might end up losing precious time and money.
8. That the Annual report should include the service standards as this will prove to the public on our turnaround time and they be published with service delivery bills.
9. The committee recommends that minimization of corruption be removed and replaced by rooting out of corruption as it is continuously repeated year in year out.

CONTI.....

10. That audit and MPAC recommendations be implemented and progress on the recommendations be report in all quarterly councils.
11. That all sector department be alerted that there should be no activity in a ward without the knowledge of the municipality this has also been experienced during lockdown and we even called the police thinking that the service provider was a thief.
12. That SLA's be published in term of section 71 of the MFMA please note that that in all fin year none was published and even in the year under-review.
13. For chapter six, the committee has put recommendations in line with all transgressions as per the categorization as adopt by council during the investigation of the UIFWE.
14. Other than the recommendations let the committee applaud the municipality on electrification that is standing at 98% as it is an achievement that is farfetched for others.
15. The committee recommends that they should bill its electricity consumption and pass journal.
16. The LED report should cover jobs created by the informal sectors in the Municipality and figures be outlined.

CONTI....

17. The committee recommends that Portfolio committee on Local Economic Development should investigate and report to council within 90 days the viability of Lebjene Lodge.
18. The committee recommends that the Municipality should create a starter pack of empowering two beneficiaries per ward on local economic development by funding them to open and sustain spaza shops.
19. The committee recommends that Khumelong brick making project be resuscitated.
20. The municipality should engage with business forums for inputs.
21. The committee recommends that council should partner with Department of Education in establishing Kharikhude program, ABET and Computer literacy with the aim of addressing the high level of illiteracy in communities of Greater Letaba.
22. The committee recommends that Municipal facilities should be maned by caretakers and be regularly maintained.
23. The committee recommends that the portfolio committee on Corporate and Shared Services should benchmark on the role and attendance of a legal person in council.
24. The Committee recommends that there should be proper system of managing Municipal work force.
25. The committee recommends that the Municipality should move away from manual stock management to electronic system to curb stock losses.

Madam speaker on behalf of the committee I present the oversight report for financial year 2018/2019.

ANNEXTURE	ITEM

5.2.1

**CATEGORISATION OF IRREGULAR
EXPENDITURE**

- **Category 1**

Irregular expenditure that cannot be regarded as being “irregular” as defined in section 1 of the MFMA and should therefore be removed from the expenditure register by way of a Council resolution based on the premise that such expense is “irrecoverable” and therefore “written off”. No consequence management (disciplinary action or criminal prosecution) required in this category.

- **Category 2**

Irregular expenditure that can be regarded as being “irregular” as defined in section 1 of the MFMA and should therefore remain on the expenditure register. Should be written off by Council - **but disciplinary action** may be considered based on **negligence**.

- **Category 3**

Irregular expenditure falling in this category does constitute irregular expenditure and *prima facie*, was **deliberately or negligently committed made or authorised** by an official of the Municipality who may be liable as contemplated in section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA and which requires implementation of consequence management.

The background of the slide is a light gray gradient with several realistic water droplets of various sizes scattered across it. The droplets have highlights and shadows, giving them a three-dimensional appearance.

DETAILS OF ADOPTED CATEGORIES BY COUNCIL

5.2.1.1 CATEGORY ONE:

- (a) Expenditure incurred falling within this category **cannot be regarded as being “irregular expenditure” as defined in section 1 of the MFMA** and in our view, should be removed from the irregular expenditure register of the Greater Letaba Local Municipality.
- (b) The Auditor General may however, not accept that expenditure falling in this category can simply be removed from the Municipality’s irregular expenditure register as a result of the difference in approach between viewing the matter from a **legal point of departure** (i.e. testing whether the expenditure incurred falls within the ambit of the definition of “irregular expenditure” as defined by section 1 of the MFMA) and viewing the matter from an **auditing point of departure** (inserting a number of other requirements and standards which are not prescribed by the MFMA).
- (c) It is our considered view that the MFMA clearly defines the concept of **“irregular expenditure”** in section 1 thereof. **Therefore, an “expenditure” is either “irregular” (if it satisfies the criteria set out in the statutory definition of “irregular expenditure”) or it is not.** The only pertinent criteria therefore in order to classify expenditure as “irregular” or not, are the criteria contained in the definition of the concept of “irregular expenditure” as set out in section 1 of the MFMA.

- (d) The mere fact that certain auditing requirements, standards or tests are not met (e.g. there is not a copy of the tender advertisement in the file of the matter when there is adequate proof that the requirements of the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations and the MFMA were adhered to and followed) does not mean that the statutory requirements were not met and that the expenditure can be regarded as “irregular expenditure”.
- (e) In as far as the matters falling within this category cannot be removed from the irregular expenditure **at the instance of the Auditor General**, the manner in which these expenses are to be dealt with is as follows: in these matters the allegedly “irregular expenditure” were not “... **deliberately or negligently committed, made or authorised** ...”, as contemplated in terms of the provisions of section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA, and as such these expenses **can and should be certified by the Council as “irrecoverable”**, as contemplated in terms of the provisions of sections of section 32(2)(b) of the MFMA, and “**written off**” by the Council.
- (f) Therefore there is no need to consider invoking the provisions of section 32(2), section 32(6) or section 171(4) of the MFMA regarding expenditure which falls within this category, with the view to hold persons accountable for such expenditure. **No formal consequence management** is therefore required in this instance.

It is our view that additional training of bid committee and assistance to SCM Office staff would positively assist to limit this category of qualifications in future.

5.2.1.2 CATEGORY TWO:

(a) The expenditure incurred in matters falling within this category does constitute “**irregular expenditure**”, **but** such “irregular expenditure” is, in our view **not recoverable from the person liable** for the “irregular expenditure” (as contemplated in the provisions of section 32(2) of the MFMA as read with section 32(1) (c) of the MFMA) by reason of the following:

(aa). it has **not** been “....**deliberately** ... committed, made or authorised ...” as contemplated in terms of the provisions of section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA;

(bb) in as far as same has been “...negligently ... committed, made or authorised ...”, as contemplated in terms of the provisions of section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA, the Municipality *inter alia*:

(i) *ostensibly received value for the goods or the services provided or rendered; and*

(ii) the principles of fairness, equitability, transparency, competitiveness and cost-effectiveness, as expresses by section 217 of the Constitution, were not negated.

(b) Therefore the recovery of the “irregular expenditure” from the official who “ ... **negligently** ... *committed, made or authorised* ...” same, will have an adverse cost implication for the Municipality if the Municipality is to proceed with steps to recover the “irregular expenditure”. Such an action will also in all likelihood be resisted by the official on the basis that the Municipality cannot enjoy the benefit of the goods or services received and also recover the “irregular expenditure” incurred towards the said goods or services from the said official. As such, steps to recover the ‘irregular expenditure” will also have no “...like benefit ...” for the Municipality(as referred to in regulation 74(1)(c) of the Budget Regulations).

(c) The “recovery”, as referred to in section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA, in these matters, will not be for the purposes of recovering of any loss or financial prejudice suffered by the Municipality. **This position may very well be different in matters falling within Category Three below.**

(d) In these matters it is recommended that the “irregular expenditure” can be classified as “irrecoverable” (as contemplated in the provisions of section 32(2)(b) of the MFMA) and **should be “written off”¹⁸ by the Council.**

CONTI...

(e)**However**, and because the element of “**negligence**” (as referred to in section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA) is involved in matters falling within this category, the provisions of section 171(4) of the MFMA dealing with **disciplinary action** against an official who incurred such expenses, is relevant.

It should however be noted that the municipality has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that such expenditure was as a result of negligence.

The Municipality also has to prove beyond reasonable doubt that appropriate training for such officials was provided for them before they were assigned that responsibility. Failure to prove such would seriously jeopardise the changes of success of the Municipality. .

5.2.1.3 CATEGORY THREE:

(a) The expenditure incurred in matters falling within this category, subsequent to our investigation, **does constitute “irregular expenditure”** and *prima facie* contains circumstances which indicate that the “irregular expenditure” was “...*deliberately or negligently committed, made or authorised* ...” by an official of the Municipality who is liable for the said expenditure (as contemplated in the provisions of section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA) under circumstances which may require further detailed investigation in order to ascertain whether:

(aa) the “irregular expenditure” **should be recovered** from the said official, as contemplated in terms of the provisions of section 32(1)(c) of the MFMA, to the extent that value may not have been received by the Municipality, or the Municipality may have been financially prejudiced; and

(bb) **disciplinary steps** (in terms of section 171(4) of the MFMA) and **criminal complaint** (in terms of section 32(6) and section 173) should be instituted and made.

(b) The manner in which these expenses are to be dealt with is as follows: the “irregular expenditure” incurred in these matters cannot be classified as “irrecoverable” and “written-off” by Council as contemplated in section 32(2)(b) of the MFMA, **without further investigation**. The matters in this category may therefore require further detailed investigation.

(c) In this regard it is important to note that **not all instances of “irregular expenditure” justify disciplinary steps against the officials concerned, as referred to in section 171(4)(b) of the MFMA, and/or constitutes a criminal offence, as referred to in section 137 of the MFMA**. See section 32(6)(a) of the MFMA. However, the **deliberate or negligent** making, permitting or instructing of an official to make “irregular expenditure” by the accounting officer or the deliberate or negligent making of an “irregular expenditure” by a senior manager or official to whom financial management responsibilities were delegated, constitutes “financial misconduct” and such acts must be investigated by the Municipality and if warranted, disciplinary steps must be instituted.

The background of the slide is a light gray gradient with several realistic water droplets of various sizes scattered across it. The droplets have highlights and shadows, giving them a three-dimensional appearance.

MUNICIPAL PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE WORK PLAN 2020/21

DATE	ACTIVITY	RESPONSIBILITY AND VENUE
09 July 2020	Working session	MPAC support staff and Chairperson (MPAC Office)
13 July 2020	Working session	Committee (Council chamber)
13 July 2020	District MPAC Technical Meeting	District coordinator
15 July 2020	Project visit	Committee coordinator
18 – 19 July 2020	Greater Giyani Strategic session	District coordinators
21 July 2020	1 st Quarter Council sitting (MPAC to submit the 4 th Quarter oversight Report)	Committee coordinator
July 2020	Council sitting	Council secretariat
4 – 6 August 2020	MPAC strategic planning session (Greater Letaba Municipality)	Committee coordinator

12 August 2020	Receiving Sec 71 report from the Accounting Officer	Committee coordinator
12 August 2020	District Chairpersons Meeting	District coordinator
14 August 2020	Project visit	Committee coordinator
August 2020	District wide session	District coordinator
25 August 2020	Working session	Committee (council chamber)
26 August 2020	Special Council Sitting	Council secretariat (Virtual)
September 2020	MPAC technical meeting	District coordinator
15 September 2020	Project Visit	Committee coordinator
September 2020	District MPAC Forum	District coordinator
28 September 2020	Working session	Committee (council chamber)
13 October 2020	Working session	Committee (council chamber)
October 2020	Council sitting	Council sitting (Virtual)
09 November 2020	Receiving section 71 Report	Committee coordinator
11 November 2020	Project visit	Committee Coordinator
19 November 2020	Working session	Committee (council chamber)
November 2020	MPAC technical meeting	District coordinator
10 December 2020	Working session	Committee (council chamber)

15 December 2020	Project visit	Committee coordinator
12 January 2021	Working session	Committee (council chamber)
15 January 2021	Project visit	Committee coordinator
January 2021	Council sitting	Council sitting (Council Secretariat)
09 – 12 February 2021	Consideration of the Annual report	Committee coordinator
February 2021	MPAC technical meeting	District coordinator
February 2021	District MPAC forum	District coordinator
19 February 2021	MPAC meeting AGSA, Audit Committee and Risk Management	Committee coordinator (Virtual)
23 February 2021	MPAC meeting to ratify, adopt and submit questions to the Executive Committee	Committee coordinator (Virtual)
February 2021	Special Council	Council Secretariat (Virtual)
03 March 2021	MPAC meeting to consider responses from the Executive Committee	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
09 March 2021	MPAC meeting with the Executive Committee for interrogation Purposes	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
March 2021	MPAC technical meeting	District coordinator

12 March 2021	Working session	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
18 March 2021	Public hearing (Greater Letaba)	Committee coordinator (Virtual)
23 March 2021	MPAC meeting to consider recommendations to council on the Annual report	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
March 2021	Council sitting	Council Secretariat (Virtual)
01 April 2021	Project visit	Committee coordinator
13 April 2021	Working session	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
16 April 2021	MPAC technical meeting	District coordinator
29 April 2021	Council Sitting	Council Secretariat (Virtual)
06 May 2021	Working session	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
18 May 2021	Project visit	Committee coordinator
May 2021	Council Sitting	Council Secretariat (Virtual)
10 June 2021	Working session	Committee coordinator (council chamber)
22 June 2021	Project visit	Committee coordinator

June 2021	Council sitting	Council secretariat (virtual)
-----------	-----------------	-------------------------------

The background of the slide is a light gray gradient with several realistic water droplets of various sizes scattered across it. The droplets have highlights and shadows, giving them a three-dimensional appearance.

MPAC- KOUGA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY BENCHMARKING REPORT.

Background

Madam Speaker and chairperson of council the role of MPAC It's not only to do oversight but to also to share good practices, Hence within financial year 19/20, MPAC included benchmarking in the annual work plan which was approved by a council and further more during MPAC strategic session we resolved that SALGA national in consultation with SALGA Limpopo should identify a municipality which we can visit for benchmarking.

SALGA identified Kouga Local Municipality which is in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa, approximately 80 KM west of Port Elizabeth, and forms part of Sarah Baartman District Municipality. The municipality consists of 15 wards and 14 PR councilors which makes a total of 29 council members. Kouga Local municipality has approximately a total population of 112,000 and it covers 2670 square kilometers is a category B municipality'.

SALGA coordinated and facilitated a four days tour from the 18th to the 21th of February 2020. A delegation of 14 members from Greater Letaba Municipality was accompanied by four officials from SALGA of which 2 from Limpopo office, 1 from National office and 1 from Eastern Cape office. Amongst the 14 delegates from GLM was 11 Cllrs (MPAC), 1 MPAC administrator, internal auditor and Accounting officer (Dr Sirovha I).

Day 1 was arrival we were warmly welcomed by the chairperson of the Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC) Mr. GERTENBERG the main activity for the day was introductions and outlining program for the coming two days.

CONTI.....

Day 2, we met at Kouga civic center where the executive mayor welcomed us and the main activities of the day were presentations by different Portfolio heads and their directors. Some of our team members were deployed to attend IGR to observe the proceedings.

Day 3, we were invited to attend MPAC engaging with management on annual report and we were observers in the whole proceedings, municipal manager and directors accounted on matters that relate to their departments.

Session two was engagement session where GLM MPAC chairperson shared post podium with Kouga MPAC chairperson the engagement was based on our observations from the previous day and the way they run MPAC meetings either committee meeting or engagement with management and SALGA become very handy.

GLM MPAC Chairperson is not invited into Exco meetings whereas Kouga Municipal Chairperson is invited.

Kouga Local Municipality has managed to acquire clean audit opinion for two consecutive financial years.

Kouga municipality have a call center where all service delivery queries on average it takes them 24 hours to attend to the reported service delivery queries. Community members use call center number or Vodacom APP to report service delivery query. When report with the APP is so convenient that you it sends location of where the service is required and consultant will immediately log in the call then client will receive reference number. Call center is operated by 12 consultants at a go.

Kouga Local Municipality has a call center where all service delivery challenges are reported and were able to respond to 35000 challenges in the financial year 2018/2019

EFFECTIVENESS OF KOUGA MUNICIPALITY FLEET MANAGEMENT

- The municipality moved from 4% of vehicle operation to 90% in two financial years.
- Revenue collection rate is at 94% due to council adherence to policies and processes put in place
- The municipality is a water service authority
- The municipality has a division that strictly enforces the adherence of by-laws
- Filling
- The municipality has an excellent filling system

COOPERATE SERVICE OFFICE SET-UP

Kouga local municipality is also housing a community radio station in one of its offices this the same building where the call center is.

Project visit they have constructed ecofriendly road made of plastics and a small percentage of asphalt, the good thing about this road is durability. The municipality uses wheelie bins which are convenient for community and municipal works.

We also learnt that the municipality has a grant in aid for the upcoming entrepreneurs.

So the general observation is that the municipality is more proactive than reactive and listed are observation that would share with councils and attached it's a copy presentation that was presented by the kouga chairperson summarizing all the key performance areas hands we draw our observation from the report and practical visit that we did.



CALL CENTRE AND RADIO STATION



ECO FRIENDLY ROAD (PLASTIC ROAD)



HOUSING PROJECT KOUGA MUNICIPALITY



GREATER LETABA MPAC OBSERVING KOUGA MPAC MEETING





MUNICIPAL MANAGER FOR GREATER LETABA MUNICIPALITY ENGAGE MPAC OPEN SESSION

DR INNOCENT SIROVHA.



**GROUP PHOTO FOR
GREATER LETABA MPAC,
KOUGA MPAC AND
SALGA NATIONAL,
SALGA EASTERN CAPE
AND SALGA LIMPOPO**

The background is a light gray gradient. It features several realistic water droplets of various sizes scattered across the frame. In the upper center, there is a faint, circular fingerprint-like pattern. The overall aesthetic is clean and modern.

MPAC PROJECT VISIT

BACKGROUND

- THE COMMITTEE VISITED THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS ON THE 23RD OF JANUARY 2020
 1. KGAPANE STADIUM
 2. KGAPANE STORM WATER
 3. MADUMELENG SPORTS COMPLEX
- FOLLOWING ARE MPAC'S OBSERVATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS ON PROJECTS VISITED AND ATTACHED PROJECT FINANCIALS STATUES.

The background features a light gray gradient with several realistic water droplets of various sizes scattered across the surface. A faint, concentric ripple effect is visible in the upper-middle section of the page.

KGAPANE SPORTS COMPLEX

PROJECT SCOPE

1. THE PROJECT IS A MULTI YEAR PROJECT AND AT THE TIME OF THE VISIT IT WAS ON PHASE 3

2. THE PROJECT SCOPE INCLUDED

- ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF PAVILION GRANDSTAND WITH ROOF
- ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF ABLUTION BLOCK & CHANGE ROOMS
- ✓ SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF ONE HIGH MAST LIGHT
- ✓ PARKING
- ✓ DRILLING & EQUIPPING OF THE BOREHOLE
- ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF GUARD HOUSE
- ✓ INSTALLATION OF TWO WATER TANKS WITH STANDS
- ✓ RUBBERISED RUNNING TRACK
- ✓ MASONRY WALL

PROJECT SCOPE CONT..

3. . THE CONTRACT VALUE IS **R 5 950 290,47 (CONSULTANT) AND R 35 001 708,63 (CONTRACTOR)**
4. THE EXPENDITURE IS **R 5 678 577,33 (CONSULTANT) AND R 28 767 257,99 (CONTRACTOR)**
5. THE BALANCE IS **R 271 713,14 (CONSULTANT) AND R 6 234 450,64 (CONTRACTOR)**
6. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT WARD 04 KGAPANE

MPAC'S OBSERVATIONS

- THE COMMITTEE OBSERVED THAT THE SPORTS COMPLEX IS AT PHASE 3 NOW.
- THE ABLUTION FACILITY IS NOT YET CONSTRUCTED.
- THE ROOF PAVILION FOR THE OTHER GRAND STAND IS STILL OUTSTANDING.
- HIGH MAST LIGHT AND PAVEMENT ARE YET TO BE DONE.
- THE GUARDHOUSE FOR THE SPORTS COMPLEX IS UNDERWAY.
- THE ATHLETIC RUBBER TRACT NEEDS TO BE FIXED.
- THE RAISER WIRE ON TOP OF THE WALL IS YET TO BE DONE.
- THERE IS NO MAINTENANCE FOR SOME OF THE FACILITIES BY COMMUNITY AND TECHNICAL SERVICES
- THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM OF THE SPORTS COMPLEX NEEDS TO BE CHECKED FOR USER FRIENDLINESS OF THE STADIUM.
- THE NEW DESIGNS OF THE ROOF ARE AFFECTING ABLUTION FACILITIES.

MPAC'S RECOMMENDATIONS

- THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE ROOF PAVILION BE ERECTED
- RENOVATION OF THE OTHER GRAND STAND/PAVILION BE DONE
- THE FOURTH HIGH MAST LIGHTS BE DONE AND PAVING OF THE SURROUNDINGS BE DONE AS PART OF THE CURRENT PHASE SCOPE.
- THE RUBBER TRACKS BE FIXED TO PREVENT MORE DAMAGE BY SPRINKLER WATER
- THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD WRITE A LETTER PERMITTING BOTH COMMUNITY AND TECHNICAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT TO DO REGULAR MAINTENANCE IN THE COMPLEX
- THERE SHOULD BE RELOCATION OF THE OPEN GYM TO OPEN GROUNDS
- THE STANDARD OF THE SPORTS SHOULD MEET THE CRITERION OF SOUTH AFRICAN FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION (SAFA).
- SAFA SHOULD BE ENGAGE TO CHECK WITH THEM IN TERMS OF THE PROGRESS MADE THUS FAR BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- FULL TIME CARE TAKER SHOULD BE APPOINTED.



TELL:012 770 6363
CELL:082 477 0223
FAX:086 692 8746
E-MAIL:maduker@bagaphala.co.za

SAFETY BOARD

ALL VISITORS MUST REPORT TO THE SITE OFFICE
ALL VISITORS TO ADHERE TO SAFETY PRECAUTION
PROTECTIVE ITEMS APPLICABLE







MADUMELENG SPORTS COMPLEX

PROJECT SCOPE

1. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED AT MADUMELENG IN WARD 01
2. THE CONTRACT VALUE OF THE PROJECT IS **R 6 951 369,33 (CONSULTANT) AND R 40 890 407,81 (CONTRACTOR)**
3. THE EXPENDITURE TO DATE IS **R 4 859 517,20 (CONSULTANT) AND R 13 124 586,16 (CONTRACTOR)**
4. THE BALANCE IS **R 2 091 852,13 (CONSULTANT) AND R 27 765 821,65 (CONTRACTOR)**
5. PROJECT SCOPE INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:
 - ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF PAVILION GRANDSTAND WITH ROOF * 2
 - ✓ ABLUTION BLOCK AND CHANGE ROOMS
 - ✓ INSTALLATION OF CONCRETE FENCE
 - ✓ RUBBERISED RUNNING TRACK
 - ✓ SOCCER PITCH
 - ✓ 4* HIGH MAST LIGHTS
 - ✓ COMBI COURTS
 - ✓ SUPPLY & INSTALLATION OF WATER TANK INCLUDING BOREHOLE
 - ✓ SUB SOIL DRAINAGE
 - ✓ SEPTIC TANK
 - ✓ BULK ELECTRICITY SUPPLY
 - ✓ PARKING

MPAC OBSERVATIONS FOR PHASE ONE

- The guard house is not painted
- The Electric bulb are not inserted at the guard house.
- Phase one is not completed which includes: Guard house, Earth work and Fencing
- There is a broken window at the guard house.
- There is a one entrance at the stadium.
- Along the palisade fencing, there is lot of bushes.
- Phase two is a combi court which is re-constructed after the first has washed away by water during rain.
- A borehole inside the yard was drilled but had no water then a second one had to be drilled outside the premises and water was found.
- The other door of the guard house is broken.
- The work for phase one is incomplete and now the project has overlapped to the other phase.⁵²

MPAC'S RECOMMENDATIONS

- That guard house be painted.
- That Electric bulbs be inserted.
- That combi courts be painted.
- That another entrance or exit should be considered.
- That de-bushing along the palisade should be done.
- That a broken window at the guard house be replaced.
- That the work for phase one be completed.
- The door of the guard house should be fixed.



GUARD HOUSE

STILL UNDER CONSTRUCTION.

GUARDHOUSE

BROKEN WINDOWS OF THE GURDHOUSE.





TENNIS COURTS

STILL UNDER CONSTRUCTION.



SPORTS COMPLEX

CONSTRUCTION UNDERWAY.

The background features a light gray gradient with several realistic water droplets of various sizes scattered across the surface. In the upper center, there are faint, concentric ripples, suggesting a stone dropped into water. The overall aesthetic is clean and minimalist.

KGAPANE STORM WATER

PROJECT SCOPE

1. THE PROJECT IS LOCATED IN WARD 03 (MTHAMBEKHA)
2. THE CONTRACT VALUE IS **R 2 489 025,83**
3. THE REVISED CONTRACT VALUE IS **R 3 013 031,27**
4. THE EXPENDITURE TO DATE IS **R 3 005 277,03**
5. THE PROJECT SCOPE INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING:
 6. BALANCE IS **R 7 754 ,24**
 - ✓ 400M LONG GRAVEL WEARING COURSE COMPACTED TWO LAYERS
 - ✓ 500M LONG 150M LAYER RIP TO 90% MOD AASTHO MAXIMUM DENSITY
 - ✓ 400M STORM WATER CONCRETE V-DRAIN
 - ✓ 500M EARTH V-DRAIN AND FENCE ON DEEP EMBARKMENT
 - ✓ FENCE ON DEEP EMBARKMENT
6. EXTRA WORK INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING:
 - ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF WATER CHANNEL
 - ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF CHANNEL CROSSING TO HOUSEHOLD
 - ✓ CONSTRUCTION OF WING WALLS
 - ✓ REHABILITATION OF EXISTING WATER CHANNEL BY CASTING CONCRETE SLAB FOR SURFACE BED

MPAC'S OBSERVATIONS

- THERE HAS BEEN A FEW CHANGES AND PROGRESS FROM THE LAST VISIT BY THE COMMITTEE.
- THERE ARE NO CONTOUR LINES AT THE TOP WHERE THE WATER COMES FROM TO CONTROL FLOW OF WATER
- THE SOIL HAS ERODE DUE TO HEAVY RAINS EXPERIENCED RECENTLY
- V-DRAINS ARE LEFT WITHOUT SOIL UNDERNEATH
- THE V-DRAINAGE SYSTEM IS BLOCKED
- WATER IS NO LONGER FLOWING INTO HOUSES OF THE RESIDENTS BUT FLOWS THROUGH THE DRAINS TO THE MAIN STREAM.

MPAC'S RECOMMENDATIONS

- THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT BACKFILLING BE DONE TO THE WASHED AWAY ROAD.
- THE CONTOUR LINES CHANNELLING WATER BE MADE BY THE CONTRACTOR TO ERADICATE EROSION.
- THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM BE UNBLOCKED TO ALLOW WATER TO SMOOTH FLOW TILL IT REACHES MAIN STREAM.
- SMALL BRIDGES BE MADE TO ALLOW RESIDENTS ACCESS TO THEIR HOMES.



KGAPANE STORM WATER CONTS...

BLOCKED SYSTEM



ACCESS BRIDGES











**THANK YOU
HAKHENSA
REA LEBOGA**

